1	GLENN D. POMERANTZ (SBN 112503)		
2	Glenn.Pomerantz@mto.com KELLY M. KLAUS (SBN 161091)		
3	Kelly.Klaus@mto.com BLANCA F. YOUNG (SBN 217533)		
4	Blanca.Young@mto.com		
5	SETH GOLDMAN (SBN 223428) Seth.Goldman@mto.com		
6	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
7	355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560		
8	Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702		
9	Attorneys for Creditors		
10	WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION, ELE	EKTRA	
11	ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., and RHINO ENTERTAINMENT COMPANY		
12	Additional counsel and parties on signature page		
13	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
16	In re	Case No. 5:09-52226-RLE	
17		Chapter 7	
18	SEEQPOD, INC.,	Honorable Roger L. Efremsky	
19	Debtor.	CORRECTED MOTION TO COMPEL EXAMINATION UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 2004	
20		Date: March 24, 2010	
21		Time: 11:30 a.m. Ctrm: 3099	
22		280 South First Street San Jose, CA 95113	
23			
24	Warner Bros. Records Inc., Atlantic Recording Corporation, Elektra Entertainment Group		
25	Inc., Rhino Entertainment Company (collectively, "WMG") and Capitol Records, LLC, Caroline		
26	Records, Inc., Virgin Records America, Inc., Colgems-EMI Music Inc., EMI April Music Inc.,		
27	EMI Blackwood Music, EMI Feist Catalog Inc., EMI Full Keel Music, EMI Golden Torch Music		
28	Corp., EMI Gold Horizon Music Corp., EMI Grove Park Music, Inc., EMI Longitude Music,		
		CORRECTED MOTION TO COMPEL 2004	

1	EMI Miller Catalog Inc., EMI Robbins Catalog Inc., EMI U Catalog, Inc., EMI Virgin Music,		
2	Inc., EMI Virgin Songs, Inc., EMI Waterford Music, Inc., Jobete Music Co. Inc., Screen Gems-		
3	EMI Music Inc., and Stone Diamond Music (collectively, "EMI") hereby move the Court for an		
4	order compelling Mr. Kasian Franks to comply with the previously issued order and subpoena		
5	directing the examination of Mr. Franks under Rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy		
6	Procedure (the "2004 Order"). This motion is made pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 2004-		
7	1(b), and Civil Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 as incorporated by Local Bankruptcy Rules 1001-		
8	2(a)(48) and (49).		
9	Movants have been attempting to take the 2004 examination of Kasian Franks, a principal		
10	of the debtor, since August of last year, but have been unable to do so. Despite numerous efforts		
11	to meet and confer in good faith with Mr. Franks and his counsel, Movants have been unable to		
12	even set dates for the production of documents or the deposition of Mr. Franks. Movants believe		
13	that further efforts would be equally fruitless and therefore move this Court for an order:		
14	(1) Compelling Kasian Franks to produce the documents requested in the 2004 Order		
15	on or before 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Pacific Time) on March 29, 2010 at the offices of Munger,		
16	Tolles & Olson, LLP, 560 Mission Street, 27 th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-2907.		
17	(2) Compelling Kasian Franks to submit to a deposition on April 2, 2010 at the offices		
18	of Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP, 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-2907,		
19	commencing at 9:00 a.m.		
20	Dated: March 11, 2010 Respectfully submitted,		
21	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
22	GLENN D. POMERANTZ KELLY M. KLAUS		
23	BLANCA F. YOUNG SETH GOLDMAN		
24	By: /s/ Seth Goldman		
25	SETH GOLDMAN		
26	Attorneys for WMG		
27	[Signatures Continued on Next Page]		
28			

Case: 09-52226 Doc# 82 Filed: 03/11/10 Entered: 03/11/10 16:52:23 Page 2 of 9

1	PRYOR CASHMAN LLP
2	7 Times Square
3	New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 421-4100
	Facsimile: (212) 326-0806
4	DONALD S. ZAKARIN dzakarin@pryorcashman.com
5	RICHARD LEVY, JR.
6	rlevy@pryorcashman.com FRANK P. SCIBILIA
7	fscibilia@pryorcashman.com
8	(All admitted pro hac vice)
9	Pur /s/ Erank D. Saibilia
	By: <u>/s/ Frank P. Scibilia</u> Frank P. Scibilia
10	Attorneys for EMI
11	THIOTHEY'S FOI ZIMI
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case: 09-52226 Doc# 82 Filed: 03/11/10 Entered: 03/11/10 16:52:23 Page 3 of 9

- 3 -

CORRECTED MOTION TO COMPEL 2004

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

When this Court ordered the 2004 examination of Franks, Your Honor invited Movants to seek the Court's assistance if Franks failed to cooperate. (Tr. of June 24, 2009 status conference, attached as Ex. A to Decl. of Blanca Young.) Unfortunately, Movants have no choice but to do just that. Despite multiple attempts by Movants, Franks has repeatedly refused even to engage in a conversation about scheduling his examination. Moreover, recent events have made it all the more important to secure Franks' examination. Once again, Franks has been quoted in the press, this time touting a new internet music/video venture that apparently uses the same technology as SeeqPod. As creditors of the estate, Movants are entitled to discover whether Franks is improperly using assets that belong to the estate to perpetuate further infringement of Movants' intellectual property rights. Such a misuse of estate assets may violate the automatic stay, run afoul of the temporary restraining order that precludes SeeqPod from distributing its technology, or reflect a fraudulent transfer, and may make it more difficult for the Trustee to realize value for the estate.

The Court should put an end to Franks' game-playing by compelling him to appear for his examination and produce documents pursuant to the subpoena issued by Movants. It is obvious that absent a court order Franks has no intention of complying with the subpoena.

II. <u>RELEVANT FACTS</u>

As the Court is aware, Kasian Franks is the founder and former Chief Executive Officer of the debtor SeeqPod. Before the conversion of the case from chapter 11 to chapter 7, Franks had been designated as the Responsible Individual for the debtor. Upon the conversion, Franks was supposed to transfer all estate assets to the appointed chapter 7 trustee and to cede all control over SeeqPod to the trustee.

Nevertheless, as set forth in Movants' motion for examination of Kasian Franks under Rule 2004 (Docket No. 66), various news reports and information provided by the chapter 7 trustee and his counsel indicated that assets may have been fraudulently transferred out of

1 - CORRECTED MOTION TO COMPEL 2004

26

27

28

SeeqPod and that Franks was still heavily involved in SeeqPod's affairs. These reports and information also insinuated that Franks not only has possession of SeeqPod's assets but that he was poised to sell or otherwise use those assets for his own personal gain without oversight from this Court or by the trustee.

At the Court's suggestion, Movants applied for an order requiring Franks to produce documents and to appear for examination pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004. The Court granted that motion on July 17, 2009 (Docket No. 68). Movants issued a subpoena pursuant to that order that was served on Franks on July 24, 2009 (Docket No. 72). The subpoena required the production of documents by August 3, 2009 and a deposition on August 23, 2009. Although no motion to quash was filed and no responses or objections were served, Mr. Franks did not produce documents by August 3, 2009.

Movants subsequently met and conferred in good faith with Mr. Greg Charles of Campeau Goodsell Smith, counsel to Mr. Franks, numerous times but those efforts have not resulted in compliance by Mr. Franks. Initially, Movants postponed the date for Mr. Franks' examination until September 3, 2009 to accommodate his counsel's vacation schedule, and then had to postpone it further because Mr. Franks failed to produce documents needed to prepare for his examination. By September 1, 2009, Mr. Franks had not produced any documents. Accordingly, Movants sent a letter to Mr. Charles dated September 1, 2009 advising that they would have to postpone the deposition so that they could have time to review any relevant documents. In that letter, Movants asked Mr. Charles to provide new dates for the production of documents and Mr. Franks' examination. (See Ex. B to Young Decl.) To accommodate confidentiality concerns raised by Mr. Charles, Movants sought and obtained a stipulated order making the 2004 examination subject to the protective order in place in Adversary Proceeding No. 09-05095. (Docket No. 74.) Furthermore, without waiving the argument that the deadline to move to quash the subpoena had passed, Movants asked Mr. Charles to provide a letter describing any concerns with the scope of the 2004 examination. Notwithstanding these accommodations, no documents were produced, no dates were proposed for production or a deposition, no response or objection was served to the subpoena, and no letter was provided raising any such objections or concerns.

Case: 09-52226 Doc# 82 Filed: 03/11/10 Entered: 03/11/10 16:52:23 Page 5 of 9

Movants recently renewed their efforts to meet and confer with Mr. Charles when news articles surfaced in February of 2010 reporting that Franks intends to launch a new internet music venture called "Mimvi" that apparently relies on the same technology that SeeqPod used. (See Ex. C to of Young Decl.) Franks' apparent plan to use core technology owned by the estate to perpetuate further infringements of Movants' intellectual property rights would violate the automatic stay, interfere with the chapter 7 trustee's administration of the estate, and make a sham out of the bankruptcy process. Franks' possession of this technology may also be the result of a fraudulent transfer, or a postpetition transfer in violation of 11 U.S.C. § 549 and the stipulated order in this adversary proceeding (entered on April 13, 2009, Docket No. 36) that prohibits the distribution of SeeqPod's search technology. Furthermore, Franks' conduct may adversely affect the prospect of, or the value that may be realized from, a sale of SeeqPod's assets by the bankruptcy estate.

To address these concerns, Movants renewed their efforts to obtain Mr. Franks' compliance with the subpoena. Movants wrote to Mr. Charles by letter dated February 15, 2010 requesting Mr. Franks' compliance with the 2004 subpoena and emphasizing that Movants would bring any continued non-compliance to the Court's attention. (See Ex. D to Young Decl.) Mr. Charles conferred with counsel to WMG on February 19, 2010 and stated that he had requested dates from Mr. Franks for a deposition and document productions, but had not received those dates from Mr. Franks. Having not received further information from Mr. Charles, Movants again wrote to him on March 3, 2010 to ask for dates and to inform him that Movants' intended to move to compel if Mr. Franks continued to be non-responsive. (See Ex. E to Young Decl.) As of the filing of this Motion, no response has been provided and no dates have been proposed for productions or a deposition.

III. COMPLIANCE WITH THE 2004 ORDER IS NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE

Movants, as creditors of the estate and intellectual property owners, continue to be gravely concerned about the apparent misuse, and contemplated misuse, of estate property by Franks and others to the detriment of creditors of the Debtor. In light of recent events and Mr. Franks' utter lack of compliance with the 2004 Order, Movants respectfully request the Court's intervention to

EXAMINATION

Case: 09-52226 Doc# 82

Doc# 82 Filed: 03/11/10

Entered: 03/11/10 16:52:23

Page 6 c

compel Mr. Franks to comply with the 2004 Order.

Local Bankruptcy Rule 2004-1(b) provides that any disputes with respect to orders granting applications for examinations under Rule 2004 "shall be treated as a discovery dispute . . ." Accordingly, the local bankruptcy rules incorporating Civil Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 apply to efforts to compel compliance with the 2004 Order. Those rules require that counsel confer in good faith to resolve any dispute before seeking court intervention, and to detail the objections and responses to discovery requests and the basis for the moving party's entitlement to the requested discovery. Civil L.R. 37-1, 37-2. Movants easily satisfy these requirements.

First, Movants have conferred in good faith, but without success, to obtain Franks' cooperation. Movants have provided Franks more than an adequate number of chances, and each time Franks has demonstrated his steadfast refusal to comply with the subpoena and this Court's order. In August 2009, Franks disregarded completely the subpoena issued pursuant to the Court's order. Then, in September 2009, Movants conferred with his counsel and gave Franks a second opportunity to respond. Again, Franks disregarded the subpoena and this Court's order. Finally, in February 2010, Movants gave Franks a third chance to cooperate, and for the third time he flouted the subpoena and this Court's order. In sum, Franks has knowingly and intentionally ignored this Court's order on numerous occasions and made clear that he will not comply without further Court order.

Second, Movants' entitlement to examine Franks is beyond rational dispute. In the first place, Franks has not moved to quash the subpoena or otherwise object to it. Movants' entitlement is therefore not disputed by Franks. Moreover, the reasons that gave rise to the Court's suggestion, and Movants' request, to examine Franks are as compelling today as they were in July 2009, if not more so. The estate has not sold its assets yet, Franks continues to act publicly like he possesses SeeqPod technology, and serious concerns persist that Franks has obtained that technology in violation of fraudulent transfer law or the orders of this Court. The recent reports of a copy-cat venture only further heighten these concerns. Examining Franks on his dealings with SeeqPod and the potential misuse of its assets are core and routine areas of examination under Rule 2004 as they relate directly to the administration of the bankruptcy estate

EXAMINATION Case: 09-52226 Doc# 82 Filed: 03/11/10 Entered: 03/11/10 16:52:23 Page 7 of 9

1 and maximizing creditor recoveries. It would therefore benefit the estate, as well as vindicate this 2 Court's authority, for the Court to compel Franks' compliance with the 2004 Order. 3 Movants submit that based on this record, they easily satisfy the requirements to bring a 4 motion to compel and respectfully submit that it is appropriate and necessary for the Court to 5 intervene and compel compliance with the 2004 Order. 6 **RESERVATION OF RIGHTS** 7 Movants reserve the right to move for sanctions against Mr. Franks (or his counsel) and to 8 further move to compel compliance with the 2004 Order as necessary. 9 **NOTICE** 10 Movants are serving this motion on Mr. Greg Charles, counsel for Mr. Franks, the chapter 11 7 trustee, and counsel to the chapter 7 trustee. As a precaution, Movants are also serving Mr. 12 Franks at his last known address in case Mr. Charles no longer represents Mr. Franks. 13 **CONCLUSION** 14 For the reasons set forth above, WMG and EMI respectfully request entry of an order, 15 (1) compelling Kasian Franks to comply with the previously issued subpoena and produce the 16 documents requested therein on or before 5:00 p.m. (prevailing Pacific Time) on March 29, 2010 at the offices of Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP, 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor, San Francisco, CA 17 94105-2907; and (2) compelling Kasian Franks to be deposed on April 2, 2010 at the offices of 18 Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP, 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105-2907, 19 20 beginning at 9:00 a.m. 21 Dated: March 11, 2010 Respectfully submitted, MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 22 GLENN D. POMERANTZ KELLY M. KLAUS 23 BLANCA F. YOUNG SETH GOLDMAN 24 By: /s/ Seth Goldman 25 SETH GOLDMAN 26 Attorneys for WMG 27 28

- 5 - CORRECTED MOTION TO COMPEL 2004 EXAMINATION Case: 09-52226 Doc# 82 Filed: 03/11/10 Entered: 03/11/10 16:52:23 Page 8 of 9

1		PRYOR CASHMAN LLP
2		7 Times Square New York, New York 10036
3		Telephone: (212) 421-4100
4		Facsimile: (212) 326-0806 DONALD S. ZAKARIN
5		dzakarin@pryorcashman.com RICHARD LEVY, JR.
6		rlevy@pryorcashman.com
7		FRANK P. SCIBILIA fscibilia@pryorcashman.com
8		(All admitted pro hac vice)
9		By: <u>/s/ Frank P. Scibilia</u> Frank P. Scibilia
10		
11		Attorneys for EMI
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	9974844	- 6 - CORRECTED MOTION TO COMPEL 2004